Faversham	20mph scheme: Feedback from Commonplace Enga	agement
Introduction	The Faversham 20mph scheme originated from a community group coming together in 2015, following two deaths on zebra crossings and a hit-and-run involving a school child. Based on the feedback from public community events, the group asked members of the public to identify places where they felt unsafe by marking them on a map of Faversham – what became known as the 'red-dot map'. The sense of road danger, particularly for pedestrians, cyclists and other more vulnerable road users was not specific to particular places in Faversham but was felt throughout the town. As a result, the group decided to campaign specifically for a 20mph speed limit throughout Faversham. The current Faversham Town Council supports 20mph as one component of a longer-term plan to enable more walking and cycling in the town and surrounding area.	Red dot map Davington Primary School Oueeri Elizabeth's Grammar School St Mary of Charity Primary School Ospringe Primary School Ethelbert Road Primary School The Abbey School
A Healthier, cleaner, safer Faversham	 The launch of the UK Government's Emergency Active Travel Fund enabled Kent County Council to use an experimental order to introduce the town-wide scheme which Faversham Town Council had already designed. Time limits on this funding prevented the proposed layout changes being implemented in full initially. In parallel with KCC consulting on whether to make the scheme permanent, Faversham Town Council asked residents for changes that they would like to make Faversham streets 'healthier, safer and cleaner' – similar to the earlier 'red-dot' consultation. This report summarises those findings: Respondents placed pins on a map highlighting concerns: e.g. no crossing, narrow pavement. Suggested interventions: e.g. pedestrian crossing, widen pavements. Respondents were able to add free text comments. Some of the concerns expressed were addressed during trial, such as installing more town centre cycle racks, erecting signs to discourage cycling in pedestrianised areas and moving the planters near Sainsbury's on Bysing Wood Road. 	<section-header></section-header>

e reach population) visited	ment period, nearly 3,000 people (c. 14% of the local d the website, making over 1,000 contributions. Over 40% of us (450) were original comments, with the rest (>600) comment(s).	Visitor and contribution summary 20mph Faversham overview This Commonplace launched on 05/08/2020. This Commonplace has reached 14% of the local population.							
While the objectiv about improving th on the 20mph sche voices also respon to have done so.	While the objective of the engagement process was to ask for suggestions about improving the town, a minority (25%) chose to view it as a referendum on the 20mph scheme itself. We do not know how many of those pro/anti voices also responded to KCC consultation, but some (maybe many) are likely to have done so. The results of both consultations (Commonplace and KCC) should not be seen	2852 Visitors Nindividual person who visited a Commonplace website. If a person used multiple devices, they may be counted as several visitors.	108 Contribution	butions	474 Respondents Control of the second Respondents View respondents A person who contributed to a Commonplace website by either add comment or an agreement.				
Commonplac media or links from e URL directly, or dis	cks how people reached the website, whether via social n another website. About 40% of respondents typed in the sabled tracking. From the remaining 60%, around ¼ came hich was used by a number of people to mount a campaign a scheme.	Respondent origin (blank) Facebook 20mphfaversham.commonplace kent.gov.uk Google favershamtowncouncil.gov.uk Twitter 20splentyfaversham.wordpress com.google.android.gm commonplace.is Linkedin Total Facebook	Anti 20mph P 23 24 7 8 2 2	ro 20mph 14 7 1 5 2 1 1 30 23%	Specific location 156 75 61 27 10 7 3 1 1 349 21%	Total 193 106 69 40 14 8 7 3 1 1 1 1 1 24%			

Г





Faversham 20mph scheme: Feedback from Commonplace Engagement

raversiidff	20mph	scheme:	reeubac	K from Co	agement						
Support for walking and cycling measures	cycling exp	e very strong supperience in Fave	ersham, with	3 in 4 respond		An Chart of levels of support for walking and cycling					
with 20mph		hat that express) responses), op general.				Do you support walking / cycling measures?					
		Specific	Anti	Pro							
		location	20mph	20mph	Total	5					
	No	19	25		44	Anti 20mph					
	Yes	228	13	20	261	Pro 20mph					
	Total	247	38	20	305	No Yes					

г

Timing of	Most comments (348 out of 443) were received during the first three months							
comments	of the engagement exercise, including many comments before the scheme was operational. Most comments received after the first few weeks were specific concerns about particular issues and suggestions for improvement.	Timing of comment	 Anti Pro Location specific comment 					
Air quality	Relatively little overall concern was expressed about overall air quality in Faversham, with 25% (90 out of 359) saying it is poor or very poor. For those living in ME13 8 postcodes, dissatisfaction rises slightly to 31%, probably reflecting other evidence about air quality, particularly on the A2, which is not part of the scheme.	Views about local air quality How do you feel about air quality where you live?						

Location comments	Although there was some concentration of comments about particular streets, o correlation can be seen, although there a few specific correlations for particular			iple postcodes. No general
	Picture of postcode origin of comments	Picture of loc	ration of comments	
Comment about what	Perhaps unsurprisingly, most people (200 out of 450) chose "street" as the basis for the comment from the pre-populated list, although 59 picked road	#	What are you commenting on?	
	crossing, 35 selected junctions, with 33 people identifying cycle lanes and	200	Street	
	street parking as issues. Footpaths (27) and pavements (26) totalled 53 comments. 95 added their own comments, 40 of which referred to "the whole	59	Road crossing	
	20mph scheme", either positively or negatively	35	Junction	
		33	Cycle lane	
		33	Street parking	
		27	Footpath	
		26	Pavement	
		9	Area near school/nursery	
		9	Footbridge/underpass	

Faversham	20mph scheme: Feedback from Commonplace Enga	gement
Where are the most issues?	70 different streets received comments, with Whitstable Road attracting the most concerns: 90 people either raised or agreed with an issue. This was followed by 81 commenting on the A2, despite it being outside the 20mph zone. Comments were divided fairly evenly across Canterbury and London roads and Ospringe Street. Bysing Wood Road attracted 67 comments / agreements, although these were often about the planters near Sainsbury's which were subsequently moved, with a number of comments about the cycle lane. Other streets within the 20mph scheme that attracted more than 20 comments included South, Saxon, Ospringe, Newton, Athelstan and Forbes roads, the Mall and Dark Hill. Other comments were spread among the other streets	Bespanses by place
1	Top of the list, unsurprisingly was 174 mentions of concerns about speeding vehicles with 124 people stating that it "feels unsafe". Of those, 24 people had answered the more general question that that Faversham felt 'safe' for	174 Speeding vehicles
	walking. Although pedestrians feel generally safe, they are still able to identify specific places with safety concerns.	124 Feels unsafe 67 Not pedestrian friendly
	67 people also said that Faversham streets are not pedestrian friendly and 96 mentioned said that a street was difficult to cross and/or lacked a crossing	66 Street difficult to cross
	point. Regarding cycling, whereas 77 people said that cycling in Faversham was	62 Not cycle friendly
	'unsafe', only 25 of those identified a specific place. This implies that whereas cycling is generally seen as an unsafe activity, people can't easily identify	52 No crossing point
	specific places of concern. On the other hand, similar to pedestrians and walking, 40 people identified places that were not cycling friendly but did NOT	43 Congested
	say that cycling was generally unsafe. These indicate the importance of considering perceptions of danger as well as	36 Difficult for buggies/mobility aids/etc.
	actual danger.	33 Narrow pavements
	A few people identified concerns about congestion (43), difficulties for people with pushchairs or using mobility aids (36) and narrow pavements (37).	27 Polluted

г

Concerns by	Having identified what respondents were concerned about and where they	Table showing type	es of co	ncerr	ns in s	specif	ic loca	ations	5			
location	had issues, we cross referenced the data to show specific concerns in particular places.			Generi	с		Wa	lking		Drivers	Pla	ace
	In the following analysis, comments about Ospringe Road are included under South Road and The Mall under Forbes Road								bility			
	Feeling of being 'unsafe' focussed on Whitstable Road (18 comments), the A2 (15) and Bysing Wood Road (12), with some concerns expressed about South Road (7) and Saxon Road (6). Bysing Wood Road comments were often about the planters, which were subsequently moved.		ısafe	Not pedestrian friendly	Not cycle friendly	Narrow pavements	Street difficult to cross	crossing point	Difficult for buggies/mobility aids/etc.	Speeding vehicles	ed	
	Speeding vehicles were also identified as concerns on Whitstable Road (22 mentions) and the A2 (18) but also on Saxon Road (13) South Road (14) and	Streetname	Feels unsafe	Not ped	Not cycl	Narrow	Street d	No cross	Difficult aids/etc	Speedin	Congested	Polluted
	Newton Road (9). Love Lane, Athelstan Road, Forbes Road and Lower Road also feature (6 - 7 comments).	Whitstable Road A2	18 15	4 12	5 5	25	8 7	2	3 9	22 18	11 7	4
	The A2 (12 mentions) stands out as being not friendly for redestring with	Bysing Wood Road	12		3		1			4	2	
	The A2 (12 mentions) stands out as being not friendly for pedestrians, with South Road (7) also featuring.	Outside 20mph zone	9	7	8	6	4	5	4	9	3	3
	South Koau (7) also realutilig.	South Road	6	6	2	1	5	3	1	9	3	2
	Places that were not cycle friendly tended to be outside the 20mph scheme –	Saxon Road	6							13	1	
	Western Link (8) and the A2 (5) were mentioned. Within the zone, Whitstable	Ospringe Road	1	1	2	1	1	3	1	5		
	Road had most mentions (5).	Love Lane	4	2	1		4	5	1	7		
	Streets that lacked crossing points or were seen as difficult to cross include	Newton Road	2	3	1		2	3		9		
	Whitstable Road (10), the A2 (12) South Road (8) Love Lane and Forbes Road	Athelstan Road Forbes Road	2	1	2		3	1	1	7		
	(both 9).	Western Link	5	3	3 6	1	4	2	2	6 4		1
		The Mall	4	4	0	1	3	2	1	4	2	3
	Congestion and pollution were seen as particular issues on the A2 (7,7) and	Stonebridge Way	2	1	1	-	5	1			2	-
	Whitstable Road (11,4).	Dark Hill	1	1	-	1	3	5	1	3		
		Graveney Road	1	2	1	1	2	1		4		
		Lower Road	3	1	1		2	1	1	6		

Faversham	20mph scheme: Feedback from Commonplace Enga	igement		
Other concerns	Other concerns includes comments about motor vehicles idling (22), or driving (20) or parking (19) on pavements. Concern was also expressed about cyclists	22	Vehicle engines idling	
concerns	using pavements (20)	20	Cyclists using pavements	
		20	Vehicles mounting pavement	
		19	Vehicles parked on pavements	
		19	Feels safe	
		16	Looks ugly	
		16	Pedestrian friendly	
for in improvement pr cr ju	Mirroring concern about speeding vehicles, the top suggestion by far was to	152	Slower traffic	
	implement measures to slow traffic (152 mentions). Respondents were particularly focussed on wanting better facilities for pedestrians: more crossings (63), wider pavements (38), better visibility (33), narrower street	63	Add pedestrian crossing	
	junctions (21). 46 respondents mentioned the desire to have fewer motor vehicles.	48	More cycle lanes	
	Improving the look and feel of Faversham also registered, with 24 respondents	46	Fewer motor vehicles	
	asking for more streets, with a similar number suggesting planters and 18 wanting more / better green space.	38	Widen pavement	
		33	Improve visibility for pedestrians	
		24	Plant trees	
		24	Install planter	
		21	Narrower street or junction	
		18	Add/improve green space	

uggestion by ocation	The suggestions largely mirrored the concerns expressed earlier			Wal	king			otor <u>icles</u>	Pla	ace	Cy n
Seation	Most calls for slower vehicles were on Whitstable Road (20), the A2 (19) and Saxon Road (13) in particular, but also on Newton Road (8), South Road/Ospringe (11), Love Lane and Athelstan Road (both 7).		50	tion							
	More pedestrian crossings were requested on Whitstable Road (9) and the A2 (7), Dark Hill (6) and Forbes Road/The Mall (6) and South Road (5).		crossing	t or junction	t	ty for		hicles			
	Reducing the number of vehicles was seen as a need on Whitstable Road (7) and Saxon Road (6)	Streetname	Add pedestrian crossing	Narrower street	Widen pavement	Improve visibility for pedestrians	Slower traffic	Fewer motor vehicles	Install planter	Plant trees	
		Whitstable Road	9	1	1	2	20	7	1		
		A2	7	1	2	5	19	4			
		Bysing Wood Road					4	1		1	
		Outside 20mph zone	5		6	5	6	3			
		South Road	5	3	1		7	1	2	2	
		Saxon Road					13	6	9		
		Ospringe Road		1		1	4			1	
		Love Lane	2	1	1		7				
		Newton Road	3	2	<u> </u>		8		1		4
		Athelstan Road	1	2	2	2	7	1	1	3	4
		Forbes Road	1	1	2	3	4		1	1	4
		Western Link	1		1		5	1			+
		The Mall	5		2	2	2	1	1	1	+
		Stonebridge Way	2	1	2		1	1			+
		Dark Hill	6	1	3		1	1			+
		Graveney Road			1		4				

Faversham	20mph scheme: Feedback from Commonplace Enga	agement				
Other suggestions		18	Add/impro	ove green space		
		16	Trim over	nanging bushes		
		14	Cycle park	ing		
		12	More facili	ties for disabled		
		11	Beautify			
		10	Better wal	king signs		
		8	Add bench	nes		
		3	Add bus sl	nelter		
Additional	In addition to selecting from the pre-populated lists of concerns and			Comment count	Split	
comments	suggestions, respondents were able to add their own comments. Better cycle	Cycle facilities		139	24.0%	
	facilities – bike parking, cycle lanes etc – were mentioned most frequently (139 comments), followed by concern about (lack of) enforcement of 20mph (100).	Enforcement		100	17.3%	
	Crossings were mentioned 64 times. Other comments were about parking,	Crossing		64	11.1%	
	speeding and Planters (c 40 mentioned)	Parking		44	7.6%	
		Speeding		43	7.4%	
		Planters		42	7.3%	
		Walking facilities		35	6.1%	
		Cyclists Rat-running		28 26	4.8% 4.5%	
		Environment		20	4.5%	
		Signs		17	2.9%	
		HGV		15	2.5%	
		Active Travel		5	0.9%	
		Grand Total		578	100.0%	

Additional comment	The geographic split of additi often, followed by South Roa									ons) and t	he A2 (57
details					Walking				Pat	Environ	Grand
		Cycle facilities	Cyclists	Crossing	facilities	Speeding I	Inforcement	Parking	running	ment	Total
	Town wide	23	13	6	10	1	10	5		3	71
	Whitstable Road	12	2	7	1	4	21	5	1	4	57
	A2	8		8	2	9	7	3		3	40
	Saxon Road	2				4	5		13		24
	Newton Road	5		3	2	2	3	2	1	1	19
	Forbes Road	5		5		1	3	1			15
	Bysing Wood Road	6				1	6		1	1	15
	Preston Avenue	1		1	2		1	9			14
	South Road	4		2			5	2			13
	Athelstan Road	3		2	1	1	2		4		13
	Dark Hill	2	2	4			4	1			13
	Not specified	4	2			2	5				13
	Ospringe Road	3		2		2	4			1	12
	Lower Road			1	1	2	5	1		1	11
	Outside 20mph zone	3	2		2	2		1			10
Omph	Respondents were asked whe	ere they would like	to see 20m	nph extended	As	66	New developm	ents			
extension	well 20mph in all new develo			-		57	A2 Ospringe St				
	Ospringe Street (57), Canterb Love Lane (51). Other streets					51	Love Lane				
	Road (35), Oare Road (27) an	d Salters Lane (23).	Western I	ink was ment	tioned	39	A2 Canterbury	Road			
	25 times.					39	Water Lane				
						35	Brogdale Road				
						34	A2 London Roa	d			
						27	Oare Road				
						25	Western Link				
						23	Salters Lane				

rd search	Further detail was obtained through a search of words that came up most	Motor vehicle	379	Pedestrian & walking	587					
	frequently. The size of the words in the diagram represents the frequency that	cars	248	walk	154					
	the word was mentioned. E.g. "road" was mentioned most often, followed by	parking	80	crossing	152					
	"mph", "speed" & "town".	HGV	34	pedestrian	133					
	The words mentioned were then categorised into those related to walking (587	vans	17	footpath	87					
	mentions), speeds (545), cycling (422), Motor vehicles (379), Road danger	Road danger	311	pavement	61					
	(311), Health & Environment (180)	danger	112	Speeds & enforcement	545					
		driver	75	speeding	249					
	want planters make	safety	58	20mph / 30mph	208					
	cycle pollution good using	child	54	enforcement	57					
	people Faversham	visibility	12	police	31					
	because because school dangerous parking	Health & Environment	180	Cycling & cyclists	422					
	safer much parked going even making	pollution	53	cycling	304					
	place vehicles cycling side like limit Street	health	51	cyclist	118					
	along roads Rd need road any area needs	environment	35	Active & sustainable travel						
	crossing car route narrow walk main Speed	noise motorbike	34 7	bus active	71 14					
	now traffic cars think pedestrians town									
onclusions	Concerns about streets in Faversham and suggestions for improvements are widespread in terms of geography and types of interventions.									
	Comments were spread across the town. Streets which featured more frequently than others include: Whitstable Road, the A2, Bysing Wood Road, South Road/Ospringe Road, Saxon Road, Newton Road, Love Lane, Athelstan Road, Forbes Road/The Mall.									
	Although engagement with Commonplace was high – reaching around 14% of res avoid responding just to those with the loudest voices. There may be other place			rated to engage and care must be	taken to					
	Proposed interventions broadly fall into:									
	 Slower traffic to make it safer and easier for vulnerable road users to walk or more and better crossing points general improvements to the street scene 	cycle;								
	Some measures fulfil multiple purposes: narrowing a street with planters can imp	rove the street scene, redu	ce traffic spe	eds and make it easier to cross.						

Г

Favershar	n 20mph scheme: Feedback from Commonplace Engagement					
Next steps	The 20mph scheme is a necessary first step in the ambitions of the Town Council to make Faversham an excellent place for walking and cycling, both for leisure and for everyday purposes. Providing that the 20mph scheme is made permanent, three workstreams are in progress:					
	1) Additional measures to improve compliance with the 20mph speed limit.					
	2) A Local Cycling and Walking Infrastructure Plan, which builds on the 20mph scheme and the related measures. The LCWIP is currently being developed and contains two broad themes:					
	a) Measures to enable journeys within the existing built environment to be made by active travel.					
	b) Consideration of journeys from, to or within the new developments.					
	3) Design and implement a series of routes to link Faversham to the neighbouring villages: The "Town to Parishes" project.					
	The above workstreams will be integrated with the Swale Local Plan and the Faversham Neighbourhood Plan to ensure that both contain necessary provisions for active travel. Each workstream will result in a series of interventions or measures to improve walking and cycling in and around Faversham. Delivering them will require the development of detailed and costed designs, identification of funding sources identified and a programme of works to be timetabled over a number of years. Implicit in the programme will be the need to consult widely with particular interest groups and the general public in order to validate the proposals and to prioritise the different measures.					

Postcode analysis	PROBABLY DELETE – NOT VERY HELPFUL As indicated above, comments on some streets came from particular postcode locations. Examples include:									
	South and Ospringe roads (13 out of 17 from ME13 7L)		Road	Saxon Road		Road	Road	Road		
	Saxon Rd (all 12 comments from ME13 8P or 8Q)	ME13 7L				_		7	6	
	Athelstan Rd (6 out of 10 comments from ME13 8Q) Newton Rd (7 out of 10 from ME13 8D)	ME13 8Q		e	5	6		1		
			ME13 8B	14						
		ME13 8T				1				
		ME13 7S	1							
		ME13 8D	2				7			
		ME13 8P		6	5					