

AT A MEETING OF FAVERSHAM TOWN COUNCIL PLANNING COMMITTEE held in the Guildhall, on Monday, 7th February 2022

Present: The Mayor Cllr Mrs Alison Reynolds, Cllrs J Irwin, T Martin, H Perkin, and C Williams

In attendance: Adrienne Begent (Deputy Town Clerk)

64. APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE

Apologies were received from Cllrs J Rowlands and J Saunders, It was proposed by The Mayor, Cllr Mrs A Reynolds and, on being put to the meeting, it was:

RESOLVED to accept apologies from those Cllrs listed.

65. DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

There were no declarations of interest.

66. MINUTES

It was proposed by Cllr H Perkin seconded by Cllr T Martin and on being put to the meeting it was ***RESOLVED to approve the Minutes of the Meeting of Faversham Town Council Planning Sub Committee held on 17th January 2022.***

67. PLANNING SCHEDULE

The Planning Schedule dated 17th January was noted.

68. PLANNING APPLICATIONS

It was: ***RESOLVED that recommendations be sent to Kent County Council (Kent County Council) and Swale Borough Council (SBC) as set out Planning Schedule 7th February 2022.***

69. KCC Regulation 18 PUBLIC CONSULTATION ON KENT MINERALS AND WASTE LOCAL PLAN 2013 – 30

It was proposed by Cllr T Martin, seconded by Cllr A Reynolds and on being put to the meeting it was ***RESOLVED to make No Comment.***

PUBLIC QUESTIONS

Mr Newman – 21/506465/HYBRID (Land at Lady Dane Farm, Love Lane):
A cynical game of pass the parcel being played, with subsequent applications having less commercial and more residential units.

Ms C Goatham – 21/506465/HYBRID (Land at Lady Dane Farm, Love Lane): Quinn Estates were asked to the Vincent Trust for a mixed used scheme on this site (196 homes, 5ha business park, 70 bed hotel, pub/restaurant, 4.5ha park land, health centre and facilities for Faversham Cricket Club. It was claimed this scheme would provide 600jobs and contribute £7m per year to the annual economy.

This application is for significantly less commercial space then the Quinn proposal.

Mr D Swain – 21/505589/FULL (Provender Walk, Belvedere Road) due to the complexity of the case it was suggested that Members should meet with SBC planners before commenting on the application.