

AT A MEETING OF FAVERSHAM TOWN COUNCIL PLANNING COMMITTEE held at The Guildhall, Faversham, on Monday, 23rd September 2019

Present: The Mayor Cllr Mrs Alison Reynolds, J Irwin, T Martin, and J Saunders,

In attendance: Adrienne Begent (Deputy Town Clerk)

1. APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE

Apologies were received from Cllrs Belsom, Knights, Perkin and Williams. It was proposed by the Mayor, Cllr Mrs A Reynolds and, on being put to the meeting, it was:

RESOLVED to accept apologies from those Cllrs listed.

2. DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

Declarations of Interest were received for planning items and are listed on the Planning Schedule.

3. MINUTES

The minutes of the Meeting of Faversham Town Council Planning Committee held on 9 September 2019 were approved by Members and signed by the Chair as correct record.

4. PLANNING SCHEDULE

The Planning Schedule dated 9 September 2019 was noted. The following amendment was made:

19/504033/FULL 16 STONE STREET, FAVERSHAM
(WARD: ST. ANN'S)
PROPOSED REAR EXTENSION TO REPLACE EXISTING CONSERVATORY
Recommendation: Object
Comment:
1) The Town Council objects to the proposal unless the Conservation Officers recommendations are met.

5. PLANNING APPLICATIONS

It was: ***RESOLVED that recommendations be sent to Kent County Council (Kent County Council) and Swale Borough Council (SBC) as set out in Planning Schedule 23 September 2019.***

Public Questions

Mr J Withan, a resident of a property neighbouring application 19/504178/FULL (Ashdown, Water Lane, Ospringe) brought his concerns concerning the application to the attention of the council:

- 1) The proposal would contribute to air pollution on the A2.
- 2) Water Lane is already congested with limited parking, the proposal would add to these problems.
- 3) The aspect of the proposal is inappropriate next to a listed building.
- 4) The density of the proposal is too high, the site is suitable for 2/3 dwellings.
- 5) At a time when the council is considering so many large planning applications it is important that as much attention is given to small applications. Otherwise in the future the town could have patches of poor-quality infill.

Mrs A Withan reiterated the comments made by Mr J Withan adding:

- 1) The quality and quantity of the proposal has shocked them.
- 2) Any proposal for the site should preserve the setting of the listed building.
- 3) At present they look out on open space, if this proposal was successful, they would look out onto a brick wall. This does not preserve the setting of a listed building.